

August 2022

Maximizing the Rebalance Benefit Through Synthetic Implementation

Richard Fong, CFA
Director,
Investment Strategy

The benefits of portfolio rebalancing have been well established by previous research. Many investors have embraced rebalancing as a prudent strategy and are now seeking to maximize the rebalance benefit through efficient implementation.

While much has been written on the nonexistence of a so-called best band, few researchers have considered which financial instruments are best suited to implement a rebalance strategy.¹ Traditionally investors have rebalanced portfolio exposures by moving physical assets. More recently they've begun incorporating synthetic rebalancing into the management of portfolio exposures. Synthetic rebalance implementation involves using futures (most common), swaps, and other derivatives to move total risk portfolio exposures back to target levels. This paper compares the relative strengths of physical versus synthetic rebalance implementations and their effect on total portfolio performance.

Parametric
800 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, WA 98104
T 206 694 5500
F 206 694 5581
www.parametricportfolio.com

¹ William J. Bernstein, "The Rebalancing Bonus: Theory and Practice," *Efficient Frontier* (1996), <http://www.efficientfrontier.com/ef/996/rebal.htm>.

Trading costs

Trading costs have a direct impact on portfolio performance and therefore should be a primary consideration when evaluating how to implement a rebalance strategy. Synthetic instruments may trade at lower costs than their physical counterparts, which also may help maximize the strategy's potential return. Several factors drive this difference in trading cost.

First, since futures are commonly the instrument of choice for hedging activity, the trading volume for futures contracts can be multiples of the trading volume for the cash market. This liquidity narrows bid-ask spreads, minimizes market impact, and thus may decrease trading costs. Second, synthetic instruments often require the trade of only a single contract or small set of contracts, whereas physical trading may require a whole basket of securities to be sold. The higher number of required trades pushes up transaction and processing costs for rebalancing physical positions. Trading a single synthetic instrument instead of a basket of physical securities potentially reduces the operational risks associated with trading.

For the most common asset classes, physical trading costs can be multiples of synthetic trading costs. A selection of indexes and their one-way trading costs are listed in figure 1.

Figure 1: Estimated one-way trading costs (in bps)

Asset class	US equity large cap	US equity small cap	Developed international equity	Emerging international equity	Core fixed income
Instrument	S&P 500® Index	Russell 2000 Index	MSCI EAFE SM Index	MSCI Emerging Markets Index	Bloomberg US Aggregate Bond Index
Futures	0.7	0.7	1.1	2.2	1.5
Physicals	4.5	13.5	8.0	16.0	8.0
Difference in cost	Over 6x	Over 20x	Over 7x	Over 7x	Over 5x

Source: Parametric, 3/17/2022. For illustrative purposes only. Costs include annual maintenance, contracts roll cycle, commissions, midpoint on roll spread, and expected market impact. Physical trading costs assume full index constituent replication. Modeling assumes synthetic returns exactly match the physical returns of the underlying asset. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

Advantages of synthetics

Synthetic instruments enjoy several operational advantages when implementing a rebalance strategy:

Closing exposure gaps. Synthetic instruments can help rebalance a portfolio without introducing gaps in equity exposure. Futures contracts have same-day liquidity, whereas physical securities generally require one to three settlement days. This difference is particularly important when investors trade among not only different asset classes but also different managers. Settlement-timing differences among different portfolio managers may lead to market-exposure gaps when rebalancing is required. In each instance the resulting gap can create uncompensated performance risk relative to policy goals. Over time the presence of cash exposure may become a cash drag, potentially decreasing portfolio returns.

Real-time decision making. Synthetic rebalancing is in some cases coupled with an overlay program that provides daily monitoring of the client's entire portfolio. Rebalancing physically is generally managed by using periodic valuations that can be delayed. For example, comprehensive portfolio exposure reports based on physical stock holdings may not become available until several weeks after month-end. Taking the necessary steps to approve and institute a physical rebalance can slow the process even further.

A synthetic program is designed to allow investors to make rebalancing moves with almost continuous real-time data, allowing rebalances to occur at the point when exposures exceed the bands rather than on a potentially delayed basis. Moreover, synthetic rebalancing positions can be established at almost any time, allowing investment decisions and execution to concur with little or no staff action. Trading physical assets is generally a more costly and time-consuming process that requires staff resources for activities like drafting letters and moving assets.

Fewer disruptions. Synthetic rebalancing works to help portfolios maintain their target allocations without disrupting underlying active-management strategies. Investors often invest with alpha-generating managers after a careful selection process. Unfortunately, physical rebalancing can require redemptions from the best-performing managers. With synthetic rebalancing, investors can use short futures positions to reduce beta exposure in overweight asset classes without lowering exposure to active managers who have produced alpha and without introducing leverage into their overall portfolio. This feature of synthetic rebalancing can be particularly advantageous when asset managers charge a fee for redemption or when a favored fund is closed to new investments.

Combining physical and synthetic rebalancing bands. Let's assume our example fund has a 5% proportional rebalance band for synthetic rebalancing and a 5% absolute band for rebalancing the underlying physical positions. Such a strategy, common among synthetic rebalancing users, allows the fund to reap the benefits of regular rebalancing without incurring the costs associated with physical rebalancing, particularly during periods of high volatility, when reversals are likely. Combining the synthetic and physical rebalance strategies may allow the portfolio to keep up with its rebalancing policy while moving physical assets much less frequently.

Disadvantages of synthetics

Margin. Extended market moves may produce the need for margin at a time when the fund has become less liquid.

Tracking error. Futures may move in a manner that's significantly different from that of the underlying benchmark when futures may not exist for a specified index (for example, fixed income replication).

Assets included. Certain asset classes don't offer efficient ways to gain or remove exposure in synthetic instruments (for example, hedge funds).

Behavioral. Investors may stop rebalancing activities using synthetic contracts due to short-term risk-aversion preference.

Conclusion

Rebalancing can often be an effective strategy for improving fund performance. Implementing a rebalancing program using synthetic instruments may help improve rebalancing-program performance by reducing trading costs, removing exposure gap risk, facilitating real-time decision making, and reducing disruptions. Incorporating rebalancing activities into a broader cash overlay program may help preserve the rebalance benefit while mitigating the liquidity risks inherent in synthetic rebalancing.

About

Parametric Portfolio Associates® LLC ("Parametric"), headquartered in Seattle, is registered as an investment advisor with the US Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Parametric is a leading global asset management firm, providing investment strategies and customized exposure management directly to institutional investors and indirectly to individual investors through financial intermediaries. Parametric offers a variety of rules-based investment strategies, including alpha-seeking equity, fixed income, alternative, and options strategies. Parametric also offers implementation services, including customized equity, traditional overlay, and centralized portfolio management. Parametric is part of Morgan Stanley Investment Management, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley, and offers these capabilities through offices located in Seattle, Boston, Minneapolis, New York City, and Westport, Connecticut. This material may not be forwarded or reproduced, in whole or in part, without the written consent of Parametric. Parametric and its affiliates are not responsible for its use by other parties.

Disclosures

This information is intended solely to report on investment strategies and opportunities identified by Parametric. Opinions and estimates offered constitute our judgment and are subject to change without notice, as are statements of financial market trends, which are based on current market conditions. We believe the information provided here is reliable but do not warrant its accuracy or completeness. This material is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The views and strategies described may not be suitable for all investors. Investing entails risk, and there can be no assurance that Parametric will achieve profits or avoid incurring losses. Parametric and Morgan Stanley do not provide legal, tax, or accounting advice or services. Clients should consult with their own tax or legal advisor prior to entering into any transaction or strategy described herein.

Charts, graphs, and other visual presentations and text information were derived from internal, proprietary, or service vendor technology sources or may have been extracted from other firm databases. As a result, the tabulation of certain reports may not precisely match other published data. Data may have originated from various sources, including, but not limited to, Bloomberg, MSCI/Barras, FactSet, or other systems and programs. Parametric makes no representation or endorsement concerning the accuracy or proprietary of information received from any third party.

Derivatives such as futures, swaps, and other investment strategies have certain disadvantages and risks. Futures require the posting of initial and variation margin. Therefore, a portion of risk capital must be preserved for this purpose rather than being allocated to a manager. Liquid futures may not exist for published benchmarks, which may result in tracking error. Also, some intraperiod mispricing may occur. Swaps require periodic payments, may be less liquid than futures, and may have counterparty or credit risk. Some investment strategies require a cash investment equal to the desired amount of exposure.

All contents ©2022 Parametric Portfolio Associates® LLC. All rights reserved. Parametric Portfolio Associates®, Parametric with the triangle logo, DeltaShift®, PIOS®, and Custom Core® are trademarks registered in the US Patent and Trademark Office.

Parametric is headquartered at 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2800, Seattle, WA 98104. For more information regarding Parametric and its investment strategies, or to request a copy of Parametric's ADV or a list of composites, contact us at 206 694 5500 or visit www.parametricportfolio.com.