

# Parametric Responsible Investing

---

## Gwen Le Berre

Director, Responsible Investing

## Jennifer Sireklove, CFA

Managing Director, Investment Strategy

July 2024

The amount of information available to investors about the companies they invest in has grown exponentially. While this has been helpful to investors looking to incorporate environmental, social, and governance (ESG) information into investment decisions, this wealth of information and the diversity of investment approaches can be overwhelming. This paper is meant to guide investors through the noise.

## Key takeaways

- » Investors interested in responsible investing around ESG concerns must understand how portfolio construction and active ownership interact. Combining responsible investing portfolio construction with active ownership helps investors influence those companies in which they invest.
- » Active ownership—through proxy voting and shareholder engagement—is the most direct path responsible investors can take to help bring about the ESG change they wish to see.
- » When it comes to portfolio construction, investors choose between two distinct methods for enhancing a portfolio's ESG characteristics: screens and integration.
- » Whether through screens or integration, ESG incorporation in a portfolio requires making three more detailed decisions: target exposure, responsible investing criteria and weighting method.

## Understanding the interaction between active ownership and portfolio construction

The first thing to understand about responsible investing is how portfolio construction and active ownership interact. *Portfolio construction* refers to the process of selecting and weighting portfolio constituents. When this process specifically includes environmental, social and governance (ESG) information, we call it ESG incorporation.

For our purposes, ESG is simply a convenient way to refer to a large and evolving set of information but doesn't indicate how a portfolio might incorporate that information. How it's incorporated is important not only because it drives portfolio returns and risk characteristics but also because it impacts the investor's ownership rights and opportunities; that in turn can further affect returns.

In other words, while ESG incorporation helps investors determine which companies they own, active ownership helps them influence those companies, which in time can lead to different investment decisions.

The second thing to keep in mind is that investors can influence only the companies they own. When they avoid owning a company, they give up the opportunity to influence it.

That's why ESG incorporation can make the most sense when the investor doesn't believe a company can be changed or lacks the patience to see the change through. Otherwise, owning and potentially influencing the company through active ownership is a good starting point.

For example, it may make sense to avoid tobacco manufacturers or companies with egregious and persistent human rights violations. However, the investor may choose to own companies with inadequate independence on their boards or companies with high carbon emissions if there's an opportunity to encourage them to improve on these metrics.

Investors have become increasingly aware of the importance of building a portfolio with their active ownership approach in mind. Let's discuss active ownership in more depth first, then turn to the topic of ESG incorporation.

### Active ownership

Active ownership is the most direct path investors can take to help bring about ESG change in the companies they invest in by encouraging them to reduce risks and improve performance. Technically, it involves a spectrum of activities, including informed proxy voting, filing shareholder resolutions and engaging with company management around particular issues. Investors can also advocate for market-wide changes by engaging with policymakers.

But most investors and the professional managers who invest on their behalf tend to focus active ownership on proxy voting and engaging with company management. Diversified buy-and-hold investors are positioned especially well to practice active ownership because they tend to have long-term holdings in a wide range of companies. These universal owners may benefit directly from promulgating best practices throughout the market.

Let's look at two of the more common ways active owners can try to influence company behavior, using the Parametric offering to illustrate.

### Proxy voting

*Proxy voting* consists of simply casting votes, mostly for board nominees at regular annual meetings. Shaping the composition of the board is arguably one of the most important functions of shareholders. After all, it's through the board that shareholders can oversee company management and hopefully preserve and enhance the value of their investment. Detailed company ESG information can be useful when casting votes.

Unlike a mutual fund or ETF, in the case of a separately managed account, the end investor retains voting rights for the underlying holdings. Some investors choose to vote their shares themselves, but more typically they outsource this to a professional manager.

When a manager delegates voting authority to Parametric, we take on a fiduciary role and vote all securities in the client account based on the [Parametric Proxy Voting Guidelines](#). These guidelines encourage corporate disclosures and transparency. We've designed them to safeguard investor capital over the long run by supporting qualified, independent boards that demonstrate accountability and responsiveness to shareholders. In this effort, we consider the work of recognized corporate governance experts, outside research providers, and collaborative investor groups. We make summary versions of our voting guidelines and annual voting record publicly available.

### Shareholder engagement

Shareholder engagement complements proxy voting by enabling investors to have conversations with companies to encourage them to reduce risks and maximize returns by adopting better practices or behaviors. While proxy voting is limited to the election issues in the shareholder meeting's agenda, shareholder engagement allows investors to address areas of concern with management that are not on the proxy ballot.

For example, shareholders might nudge companies that consistently have boards that don't seem to reflect their customers, workforce or communities to reconsider their director nomination process or disclose additional workforce data. These types of initiatives are instrumental in improving company outcomes over the long run.

## ESG incorporation

Incorporating ESG data when selecting and weighting portfolio holdings is very popular. Although it doesn't affect company behavior, it allows investors to own companies they believe are doing well along various ESG dimensions and underweight those that aren't. This may be necessary when the investor no longer believes changing company behavior through active ownership is possible.

Responsible investing covers a diverse set of priorities. Yet when it comes to ESG incorporation, investors really have only two distinct methods to choose from: screens and integration.<sup>1</sup> Both tend to enhance a portfolio's ESG characteristics, but they're quite different in terms of implementation and impact.

A screen limits the companies in a portfolio to a subset of the eligible investment universe that meets specific responsible investing criteria; it contains no guidance on portfolio weights. Integration reweights the eligible universe to reflect each company's ESG qualities but doesn't necessarily remove any securities from consideration. Managers may construct portfolios using just one of these methods, but a combination of the two may better achieve the investor's objective.

There may be specific scenarios where it's preferable to use a screen versus integration and vice versa. Screens are generally better suited to mandates in which ESG criteria are of primary importance and the list of acceptable investments is delineated very precisely. Integration is useful when controlling portfolio impact is the primary concern and there's flexibility in the acceptable ESG characteristics of the holdings.

In either case, three decisions determine the final holdings and resulting returns: the target exposure, the responsible investing criteria and the weighting method. Understanding each decision will help investors make sure they own a portfolio aligned with their goals and better recognize how responsible investing criteria might affect portfolio returns.

## Target exposure

This refers to the potential portfolio before ESG criteria are applied. The portfolio might contain stocks that meet particular return expectations, which an active manager has weighted to reflect conviction. It might instead comprise stocks in a passive index, weighted according to market capitalization. The target exposure determines the initial eligible investment universe and the preferred risk characteristics—such as average market cap or dividend yield—for the final portfolio.

Target exposure options at Parametric include market cap- or alternative-weighted indexes, factor strategies, and our own rules-based actively managed strategies. In the case of index- or factor-based exposures, clients may select just one or combine a few to emphasize certain geographies, sectors or other characteristics.

## Responsible investing criteria

This refers to the specific parameters the investor uses to determine which companies are acceptable and which are unacceptable based on ESG information. The manager uses these parameters to construct the desired portfolio by modifying the target exposure.

For example, two investors may list the environment as a key concern, but one investor focuses on carbon emissions while the other focuses on the effects of factory farming. A low-carbon emitting factory farming company might be acceptable in the eye of the first investor but unacceptable to the second.

Furthermore, the company may seem low emitting and therefore acceptable based on direct emissions alone, but this may change if we include its electricity suppliers' emissions or normalize emissions by sales. Hundreds of metrics are available from dedicated ESG research providers, and it's essential to understand the nuances and select the data thoughtfully to best determine which companies are acceptable and which are not.

Given the number of possibilities, Parametric has created a menu of ESG options that captures the most common requests while still allowing for a high degree of flexibility. This includes ESG screens that investors can apply to any target exposure to create a portfolio that meets specific criteria, as well as prebuilt portfolios that already incorporate ESG criteria.

## Weighting method

This refers to how the portfolio is reweighted once the ESG criteria are determined. This largely depends on whether the investor has chosen a screen or a quantitative integration technique to construct the portfolio.

A screen identifies companies in the target exposure that meet the investor's ESG criteria and are therefore still eligible for the final portfolio. The screen itself doesn't prescribe the final portfolio weights; the manager must decide this separately. One approach is simply to increase the weights of those that pass pro rata.

At Parametric, we most commonly weight screened portfolios using an optimization process to reduce any active biases relative to the target exposure. We use optimization to try to dampen the screen's potential impact on relative investment performance.

In contrast, quantitative integration allows all companies in the target exposure to remain eligible for investment but reweights them to reflect their ESG characteristics and risk profiles. The weight is determined by balancing the attractiveness of the stock from an ESG perspective with its usefulness in mimicking the risk characteristics of the target exposure. Given the complexity of this technique, it's available at Parametric primarily using prebuilt portfolios rather than being customized for each client.

## Choosing between screening and integration

Technically investors can incorporate any metric into a portfolio through either screening or integration, but in reality many metrics lend themselves better to one approach or the other. In general, screens are preferable when the investor's primary objective is ensuring particular ESG characteristics in their holdings and the criteria can easily be made explicit.

For human rights abuses, the investor can probably draw a clear line below which companies are intolerable, so these tend to be better suited to a screen.

With an environmental score, on the other hand, it can be more difficult to specify an intuitive threshold at which a company switches from acceptable to unacceptable; such a metric might be better suited to integration.

Furthermore, when the investor's primary concern is controlling the portfolio impact of incorporating ESG—for example, by reducing predicted tracking error—integration might be more suitable than screening.

But the choice doesn't always need to be either-or. Many of Parametric's clients choose to combine an initial exposure built using integration, which is then further refined by applying a screen. Investors should consider both screening and integration as complementary tools for building the portfolio that best matches their objectives.

## Conclusion

Parametric is dedicated to remaining at the forefront of responsible investing, partnering with clients of all types as their needs evolve. Recognizing their wide range of objectives and priorities, we provide flexible solutions to help investors achieve a diverse range of goals. Our approach appeals to those taking their first steps down the path of responsible investing as well as experienced responsible investors looking for a fresh take.

**FIGURE 1: SCREENING VERSUS INTEGRATION**



### Market cap-weighted portfolio

Consists of a mix of companies with acceptable and unacceptable business involvement or behavior.



### Integrated portfolio

Uses ESG characteristics to weight companies subject to constraints aiming to maintain a diversified exposure.



### Screened portfolio

Reconfigures the eligible investment universe to remove companies with objectionable characteristics and invest only in those with acceptable ones.

Source: Parametric. For illustrative purposes only.

## Notes

1. *Integration* in this paper refers to *quantitative integration*, in contrast to *fundamental integration*, under the PRI definition. Fundamental or traditional integration refers to a process of adjusting forecasted financials for the expected impact of ESG “factors.” Quantitative or systematic integration refers to a process of constructing models that integrate ESG “factors” alongside factors such as value, size, or momentum.

For more information, see “Executive Summary,” *A Practical Guide to ESG Integration for Equity Investing*, United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment, accessed April 27, 2021.

### About

Parametric Portfolio Associates® is registered as an investment advisor with the US Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. Parametric is a leading global asset management firm providing investment strategies and customized exposure management directly to institutional investors and indirectly to individual investors through financial intermediaries. Parametric offers a variety of rules-based investment strategies, including alpha-seeking equity, fixed income, alternative and options strategies. Parametric also offers implementation services, including customized equity, traditional overlay and centralized portfolio management. Parametric is part of Morgan Stanley Investment Management, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley, and offers these capabilities through offices located in Seattle, Boston, Minneapolis, New York and Westport, Connecticut.

### Disclosures

This material may not be forwarded or reproduced, in whole or in part, without the written consent of Parametric. Parametric and its affiliates are not responsible for its use by other parties.

This information is intended solely to report on investment strategies and opportunities identified by Parametric. Opinions and estimates offered constitute our judgment and are subject to change without notice, as are statements of financial market trends, which are based on current market conditions. We believe the information provided here is reliable but do not warrant its accuracy or completeness. This material is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. The views and strategies described may not be suitable for all investors. Investing entails risks, and there can be no assurance that Parametric will achieve profits or avoid incurring losses. Parametric does not provide legal, tax, or accounting advice or services. Clients should consult with their tax or legal advisor prior to entering into any transaction or strategy described herein.

Charts, graphs, and other visual presentations and text information were derived from internal, proprietary, or service vendor technology sources or may have been extracted from other firm databases. As a result, the tabulation of certain reports may not precisely match other published data. Data may have originated from various sources, including, but not limited to, Bloomberg, MSCI/Barra, FactSet, or other systems and programs. Parametric makes no representation or endorsement concerning the accuracy or propriety of information received from any third party.

An environmental, social, and governance (“ESG”) investment strategy limits the types and number of investment opportunities available to the investor and, as a result, the investor’s portfolio may underperform other investment strategies that do not have an ESG focus. The ESG investment strategy may result in investments in securities or industry sectors that underperform the market as a whole or underperform other strategies which apply ESG standards. An issuer’s ESG performance or the investment advisor’s assessment of such performance may

change over time, which could cause the investor to temporarily hold securities that do not comply with the investor’s responsible investment criteria. In evaluating an investment, the investment advisor is dependent upon information and data that may be incomplete, inaccurate, or unavailable, which could adversely affect the analysis of the ESG factors relevant to a particular investment. Successful application of the investor’s responsible investment strategy will depend on the investment advisor’s skill in properly identifying and analyzing material ESG issues.

There is no assurance that a separately managed account (SMA) will achieve its investment objective. SMAs are subject to market risk, which is the possibility that the market values of the securities in an account will decline and that the value of the securities may therefore be less than what you paid for them. Market values can change daily due to economic and other events (e.g., natural disasters, health crises, terrorism, conflicts, and social unrest) that affect markets, countries, companies, or governments. It is difficult to predict the timing, duration, and potential adverse effects (e.g., portfolio liquidity) of events. Accordingly, you can lose money investing in an SMA. S&P Dow Jones Indices are a product of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC (“S&P DJI”) and have been licensed for use. S&P® and S&P 500® are registered trademarks of S&P DJI; Dow Jones® is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC (“Dow Jones”); S&P DJI, Dow Jones, and their respective affiliates do not sponsor, endorse, sell, or promote the strategies described herein, will not have any liability with respect thereto, and do not have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions of the S&P Dow Jones Indices.

Comparing the management or performance of a separate account to a mutual fund or exchange-traded fund is not a true and equal comparison due to differences in guidelines and restrictions, fees and expenses, and cash flows, among other factors. Because of these disparities, investors and clients are cautioned against undue reliance on separate account and fund performance comparisons.

“MSCI” and MSCI Index names are service marks of MSCI Inc. (“MSCI”) or its affiliates. The funds/ strategies listed are not sponsored, guaranteed, or endorsed by MSCI or its affiliates. MSCI makes no warranty nor bears any liability as to the results to be obtained by any person or any entity from the use of any such MSCI Index or any data included therein.

“FTSE®” is a trademark of the London Stock Exchange Group companies and is used by FTSE International Limited (“FTSE”) under license. All rights in the FTSE indexes or FTSE ratings vest in FTSE or its licensors. Neither FTSE nor its licensors accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the FTSE indexes or FTSE ratings or underlying data.

All contents ©2024 Parametric Portfolio Associates® LLC. All rights reserved. Parametric Portfolio Associates® and Parametric® are trademarks registered with the US Patent and Trademark Office and certain foreign jurisdictions.

Parametric is headquartered at 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2800, Seattle, WA 98104. For more information regarding Parametric and its investment strategies, or to request a copy of Parametric’s Form ADV or a list of composites, contact us at 206 694 5500 or visit [www.parametricportfolio.com](http://www.parametricportfolio.com).